

## ANSWER KEY

PRESENTATION 1: **Cervical Cancer**

QUESTION 1	DISCUSSION RE: ANSWER OPTIONS
<p>What is the maximum recommended duration of time required to finish all radiation treatment based on retrospective studies showing a decrease in survival with prolongation of treatment?</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>5 weeks</li> <li>6 weeks</li> <li>7 weeks</li> <li>8 weeks</li> <li>9 weeks</li> </ol>	<p>Answer D. The recommended maximum duration of treatment is 56 days, beyond which survival decreases approximately 0.1%/day on average.</p> <p>Slide 36</p>

**REFERENCE FOR QUESTION 1**

Peterit DG, Sarkaria JN, Hartmann TJ, et al. The adverse effect of treatment prolongation in cervical carcinoma. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 1995;32:1301-7.

QUESTION 2	DISCUSSION RE: ANSWER OPTIONS
<p>When reviewing a plain radiograph of your tandem and ovoid implant, which of the following factors does NOT impact either disease-free survival or local recurrence?</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Appropriateness of packing</li> <li>Symmetry of ovoids to tandem</li> <li>Displacement of ovoids in relation to cervical os</li> <li>Position of Tandem in Mid- Pelvis on Lateral Film</li> <li>Placement of a tandem with ovoids</li> </ol>	<p>Answer: D</p> <p>Slides 37-40</p> <p>The position of the tandem was not a significant predictor of LR or DFS while ovoid placement and appropriate packing, as well as placing a tandem are significant predictors of outcome.</p>

**REFERENCES FOR QUESTION 2**

Viswanathan A, Moughan J, Small W, Levenback C, Iyer R, Hymes S, Dicker A, Miller B, Erickson B, Gaffney D. Quality of Cervical Cancer Brachytherapy Implantation in RTOG Prospective Trials . *Int J Gyn Ca* (in process)

Viswanathan AN, Cormack RC, Rawal B, Lee H. Increasing Brachytherapy Dose Predicts Survival for Interstitial and Tandem-Based Radiation For Stage IIIB Cervical Cancer. *Int J Gynecol Cancer* 2009 Nov;19(8):1402-6.

QUESTION 3	DISCUSSION RE: ANSWER OPTIONS
<p>A prospective trial from France (STIC trial) has shown that CT-based contouring and treatment planning, when compared to plain radiographic film imaging for cervical cancer, has:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Worse survival rates</li> <li>Increased the normal tissue doses surrounding the applicator</li> <li>Shorter time requirements for contouring and planning</li> <li>Broader lateral width dimensions of the cervix on MR compared to CT cervical contours</li> <li>Reduced normal tissue toxicity</li> </ol>	<p>Answer: E</p> <p>Slides 51, 53-54</p> <p>T2 weighted MR provides good visualization of the gross tumor, whereas CT dose not. CT contours are wider than MR contours. The STIC trial, in patients that received chemoradiation, showed a reduction in normal tissue toxicity from 22% to 2.7%.</p>

## ANSWER KEY

### REFERENCES FOR QUESTION 3

Charra-Brunaud C, Harter V, Delannes M, Haie-Meder C, Quetin P, Kerr C, Castelain B, Thomas L, Peiffert D. Impact of 3D image-based PDR brachytherapy on outcome of patients treated for cervix carcinoma in France: Results of the French STIC prospective study. *Radioth Oncol* 2012;103:305-313.

Viswanathan AN, Dimopoulos J, Kirisits C, Berger D, Poetter R. CT- versus MR-based Contouring in Cervical Cancer Brachytherapy: Results of a Prospective Trial and Preliminary Guidelines for Standardized Contours. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2007 Jun 1;68(2):491-8.

### PRESENTATION 2: **Endometrial Cancer**

#### QUESTION 4

#### DISCUSSION RE: ANSWER OPTIONS

The PORTEC-2 trial demonstrated:

- Pelvic RT reduced the rate of vaginal recurrence when compared with pelvic radiation therapy.
- The survival rate of women with deeply invasive grade three endometrial cancer was the same for women treated with pelvic radiation therapy or vaginal brachytherapy.
- Pelvic RT reduced the rate of pelvic recurrence when compared with pelvic radiation therapy.
- Vaginal brachytherapy increased the risk of bowel toxicity

Answer C

The PORTEC-2 trial was a randomized trial comparing postoperative pelvic radiation therapy versus vaginal cuff brachytherapy in 427 women who had “high intermediate-risk” endometrial cancer found at hysterectomy. The authors reported a decreased rate of pelvic recurrence but no differences in the rates of vaginal recurrence or survival. Patients who had deeply invasive grade 3 disease were not eligible for the trial.  
 Slides 13-18

### REFERENCE FOR QUESTION 4

Nout RA, Smit VT, Putter H, et al. Vaginal brachytherapy versus pelvic external beam radiotherapy for patients with endometrial cancer of high-intermediate risk (PORTEC-2): an open-label, non-inferiority, randomised trial. *Lancet* 2010;375:816-823.

#### QUESTION 5

#### DISCUSSION RE: ANSWER OPTIONS

The MRC ASTEC trial demonstrated that a routine lymphadenectomy

- Did not improve survival
- Improved distant control
- Did not add morbidity
- Shortened the operating time
- Did improve relapse free survival

Answer A

Slide numbers 24-25

The MRC ASTEC trial was a randomized trial of 1408 patients with intermediate to high risk Stage I endometrial carcinoma with the primary objective to see if lymphadenectomy improved survival. There was no benefit found in overall or relapse free survival although morbidity was increased.

### REFERENCE FOR QUESTION 5

MRC ASTEC study group, Kitchener H, Swart AM, Qian Q, Amos C, Parmar MK. Efficacy of systematic pelvic lymphadenectomy in endometrial cancer (MRC ASTEC trial): a randomised study. *Lancet*. 2009 Jan 10;373(9658):125-36. Epub 2008 Dec 16. Erratum in: *Lancet*. 2009 May 23;373(9677):1764.

#### QUESTION 6

#### DISCUSSION RE: ANSWER OPTIONS

GOG 122, which randomized Stage III and IV patients between whole abdominal radiation therapy and chemotherapy, demonstrated that

- There is role for chemotherapy in advanced uterine carcinoma
- That whole abdominal radiation is more toxic

Answer A

Slide 47

GOG 122 was a study that randomized Stage II and IV endometrial carcinoma patients to whole abdominal radiotherapy or adriamycin/cisplatin chemotherapy. Survival

**ANSWER KEY**

<p>than chemotherapy</p> <p>c. That survival was improved in the radiation arm</p> <p>d. That radiation had a lower pelvic control rate than chemotherapy</p> <p>e. That taxol, adriamycin and platinum were more efficacious than carboplatin and taxol</p>	<p>and progression free survival was improved with chemotherapy but pelvic control was better in the radiotherapy arm (21% vs 26% any pelvic failure). Gastrointestinal toxicity was worse in the chemotherapy arm (20% chemotherapy vs 13% RT).</p>
--	--

**REFERENCE FOR QUESTION 6**

Randall ME, Filiaci VL, Muss H, Spirtos NM, Mannel RS, Fowler J, Thigpen JT, Benda JA; Gynecologic Oncology Group Study. Randomized phase III trial of whole-abdominal irradiation versus doxorubicin and cisplatin chemotherapy in advanced endometrial carcinoma: a Gynecologic Oncology Group Study. J Clin Oncol. 2006 Jan 1;24(1):36-44.

**PRESENTATION 3: Techniques: IMRT / Brachytherapy**

**QUESTION 7**      **DISCUSSION RE: ANSWER OPTIONS**

<p>Brachytherapy is significantly better than IMRT or SBRT for a boost for cervical cancer for the following reasons except:</p> <p>a. IMRT increases the integral dose given to the surrounding normal tissues</p> <p>b. IMRT requires continual replanning</p> <p>c. SBRT provides as much desired inhomogeneity, with the highest regions of dose in the center of the tumor, as brachytherapy</p> <p>d. Brachytherapy moves with the patient</p> <p>e. Brachytherapy provides high regions of dose in the center of the tumor</p>	<p>Answer C. SBRT does not provide the high regions of dose (up to 500% of prescription) as brachytherapy does in the center of the tumor.</p> <p>Slide 36-39</p>
---	---

**REFERENCE FOR QUESTION 7**

Georg D, Kirisits C, Hillbrand M, Dimopoulos J, Pötter R. Image-guided radiotherapy for cervix cancer: high-tech external beam therapy versus high-tech brachytherapy. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys. 2008 Jul 15;71(4):1272-8. Epub 2008 May 19.

**QUESTION 8**      **DISCUSSION RE: ANSWER OPTIONS**

<p>Which of the following applicators is not routinely used for locally advanced cervical cancer brachytherapy:</p> <p>a. Tandem and ovoid</p> <p>b. Tandem and ring</p> <p>c. Tandem and cylinder</p> <p>d. Tandem and interstitial</p> <p>e. Vaginal cylinder</p>	<p>Answer: E</p> <p>Slides 44-47</p>
---	--------------------------------------

**REFERENCE FOR QUESTION 8**

Petereit DG, Eifel PJ, Thomas GM. Cervical cancer. In: Gunderson LL, Tepper JE, editors. Clinical radiation oncology. Philadelphia, Pa: Churchill Livingstone, 2000:886–907.

**QUESTION 9**      **DISCUSSION RE: ANSWER OPTIONS**

<p>What are some of the standard indications for interstitial brachytherapy?</p> <p>a. Vaginal extension of cervical cancer</p>	<p>Answer D</p> <p>Slide 48</p>
---	---------------------------------

ORGANIZATION: **Los Angeles Radiological Society**  
 VENUE: **66<sup>th</sup> Annual Midwinter Radiology Conference**  
 DATE: **February 22-23, 2014**  
 TITLE: **Gynecologic Cancers Update: Cervical Cancer, Endometrial Cancer and IMRT/Brachytherapy Techniques**

**Presenter:**

**Akila N. Viswanathan, MD, MPH** – Director, Gynecological Radiation Oncology, Brigham and Women’s Hospital, Dana-Farber Cancer Institute

## ANSWER KEY

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>b. Large cervical mass with no evident cervical os</li> <li>c. Fistulization into bladder or bowel due to stage IVA cervical cancer</li> <li>d. post-operative vaginal cuff treatment for stage IA disease</li> <li>e. recurrent vaginal disease after a hysterectomy</li> </ul>	<p>Post-operative vaginal cylinder brachytherapy may be indicated for early stage disease, but interstitial brachytherapy is not.</p>
---	---

### REFERENCE FOR QUESTION 9

Petereit DG, Eifel PJ, Thomas GM. Cervical cancer. In: Gunderson LL, Tepper JE, editors. Clinical radiation oncology. Philadelphia, Pa: Churchill Livingstone, 2000:886–907.

QUESTION 10	DISCUSSION RE: ANSWER OPTIONS
<p>The difference between CT and MR-based contouring of the cervix in 3D planned image-guided tandem/ring brachytherapy is most pronounced when contouring the:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. cervix</li> <li>b. bladder</li> <li>c. rectum</li> <li>d. sigmoid</li> <li>e. femoral heads</li> </ul>	<p>Answer: A Slide 64-67</p>

### REFERENCE FOR QUESTION 10

Viswanathan AN, Dimopoulos J, Kirisits C, Berger D, Poetter R. CT- versus MR-based Contouring in Cervical Cancer Brachytherapy: Results of a Prospective Trial and Preliminary Guidelines for Standardized Contours. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2007 Jun 1;68(2):491-8.

QUESTION 11	DISCUSSION RE: ANSWER OPTIONS
<p>When utilizing 3D imaging to optimize treatment planning to the cervix, one will notice the following about reporting point A:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. point A lies outside of the contoured cervix when the cervix is greater than 5 cm</li> <li>b. point A lies outside of the contoured cervix when the cervix is less than 3 cm</li> <li>c. point A lies inside the contoured cervix when the cervix is less than 3cm wide</li> <li>d. the dose to point A remains a constant regardless of where the prescription line surrounding the cervix lies</li> <li>e. the dose to point A will vary based on the number of days between fractions</li> </ul>	<p>Answer: B Slide 70</p> <p>When contouring, the physician will delineate the cervix based on its visualized dimensions. If the cervix is greater than 4cm, point A will lie inside the contours. If the cervix is less than 4cm, point A will lie outside of the contours. If one utilizes the D90, the prescription line will cover the cervical contour, and the dose to point A will vary. The dose to point A will not vary based on the number of days between fractions.</p>

### REFERENCE FOR QUESTION 11

Petereit DG, Eifel PJ, Thomas GM. Cervical cancer. In: Gunderson LL, Tepper JE, editors. Clinical radiation oncology. Philadelphia, Pa: Churchill Livingstone, 2000:886–907.